SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 3, 2012 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S199792

PIERCE (RONALD E.) v. COURT OF APPEAL, FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

Vexatious litigant application denied

The application of petitioner for leave to file Petition for Writ of Prohibition is hereby denied.

S198391 F062058 Fifth Appellate District **LIAM D., ADOPTION OF** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including March 2, 2012.

S198616 D056361 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE CIPRO CASES I & II The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including March 12, 2012.

S022998

PEOPLE v. TOWNSEL (ANTHONY LETRICE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender C. Delaine Renard's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by December 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 6, 2012. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 250 additional days are contemplated.

S080056

PEOPLE v. BELL (MICHAEL LEON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Melissa Hill's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 29, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 27, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S080477

PEOPLE v. BANKS (KELVYN RONDELL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Stephen M. Lathrop's representation that he anticipates filing the reply brief by April 16, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 6, 2012. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 10 additional days is contemplated.

S082101

PEOPLE v. RHOADES (ROBERT BOYD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 17, 2012. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S082776

PEOPLE v. REED (ENNIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gail Harper's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 28, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 2, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated.

S087533

PEOPLE v. POPS (ASWAD) & WILSON (BYRON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Byron Wilson's opening brief by April 3, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S105876

PEOPLE v. SUAREZ (ARTURO JUAREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lisa R. Short's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 3, 2012. After that date, only four further extensions

totaling about 240 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S117489

PEOPLE v. WINBUSH (GRAYLAND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard Jay Moller's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 2, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S122611

PEOPLE v. STESKAL (MAURICE GERALD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Gilbert Gaynor's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 19, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 2, 2012. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 385 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S141519

PEOPLE v. HIN (MAO)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to April 6, 2012.

S158512

THORNTON (MARK SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Susan Garvey's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by July 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 2, 2012. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S179181

PEOPLE v. BURGENER (MICHAEL RAY)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 22, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 22, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S191765

BROWNLEE (GARY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to informal response is extended to February 27, 2012.

S195922

HINTON (ERIC LAMONT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Gary A. Lieberman's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 27, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to February 27, 2012. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S197586

WHEELER (LEROY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to April 2, 2012.

S199424 C064293 Third Appellate District

QUANTIFICATION SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT CASES

Extension of time granted

It is hereby ordered and with good cause appearing, all parties' time in which to serve and file answers to the petitions for review is extended to February 16, 2012.

S169090

PEOPLE v. CHOYCE (WILLIAM JENNINGS)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, William Hassler is hereby appointed to represent appellant William Jennings Choyce for the direct appeal in the above automatic appeal now pending in this court.

S199454

HINOJOSA (ANTONIO ARROYO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S199524

BROOKS (STEVEN DEXTER) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Three, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S198332

FOBI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EMMANUEL FOMUKONG FOBI, State Bar Number 210764, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. EMMANUEL FOMUKONG FOBI must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 6, 2011; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EMMANUEL FOMUKONG FOBI has complied with the terms of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EMMANUEL FOMUKONG FOBI must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If EMMANUEL FOMUKONG FOBI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S198333

JASON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT MAXWELL JASON, State Bar Number 100944, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ROBERT MAXWELL JASON must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S198334

KEY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that THOMAS GEORGE KEY, State Bar Number 152520, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

THOMAS GEORGE KEY must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 11, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

THOMAS GEORGE KEY must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

S198340

LAWSON ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN WARNER LAWSON, State Bar Number 224213, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN WARNER LAWSON is suspended from the practice of law for the first thirty days of probation;
- 2. JOHN WARNER LAWSON must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on October 5, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN WARNER LAWSON has complied

with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S198343

LEFEBVRE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JAMES FOLEY LEFEBVRE, State Bar Number 171779, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. JAMES FOLEY LEFEBVRE e must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing

Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on September 26, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

JAMES FOLEY LEFEBVRE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.